

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>	CARDNO, Andrew J.	<b>Examiner:</b>	NUNEZ, Jordany
<b>Application No.:</b>	10/728,857	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	2175
<b>Filing Date:</b>	December 8, 2003	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	1227
<b>Office Action Date:</b>	June 24, 2010	<b>Docket No.</b>	83336.1710
<b>Title:</b>	DATA ANALYSIS SYSTEM AND METHOD	<b>Customer No.</b>	66880

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

This amendment is filed in response to the Office action mailed June 24, 2010, and is timely filed.

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardno (WO 0225494; hereinafter "Cardno") in view of Soper et al. (WO 0079438, hereinafter "Soper").

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended in this paper. Support for the amendments may be found, at a minimum, on page 8, line 30 – page 9, line 14. No claims have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims, and contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.